# Volume Renormalization for Singular Yamabe Metrics in Higher Codimension

Sri Rama Chandra Kushtagi (with Stephen McKeown)

UT Dallas

November 21, 2024 GaGA at Texas Christian University



#### Observation Singular Yamabe Metrics





# **CCE** Metrics



Suppose  $M^{n+1}$  is a compact manifold with boundary  $\partial M = \Sigma^n$ .

A **defining function** for  $\Sigma$  in M is a smooth function r on M such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} r>0 & \mathrm{in} & \mathring{M}, \\ r=0 & \mathrm{on} & \Sigma, \\ dr\neq 0 & \mathrm{on} & \Sigma. \end{array}$$

A Riemannian metric  $g^+$  on  $\mathring{M}$  is called **conformally compact** if  $(M, r^2g^+)$  is a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary.

Let 
$$g = r^2 g^+$$
 and  $g|_{\Sigma} = h$ .

The conformally compact manifold  $(\mathring{M}, g^+)$  induces a well defined conformal structure [h] on  $\Sigma$ .

 $(\Sigma, [h])$  is called the **conformal infinity** of the conformally compact manifold  $(\mathring{M}, g^+)$ .

A conformally compact metric with

$$\operatorname{Ric}(g^+) = -ng^+$$

is called a conformally compact Einstein (CCE) metric.

# **CCE** Metrics

#### Theorem (Graham-Lee '91, Graham '00)

Let  $(\mathring{M}, g^+)$  be a CCE manifold with conformal infinity  $(\Sigma, [h])$ . Then • For any  $h \in [h]$ , there is a unique defining function r such that

$$|dr|_g = 1$$

in a neighborhood  $[0, \delta) \times \Sigma$  of  $\Sigma$ , and  $r^2g^+|_{\Sigma} = h$ .

) With this defining function,  $g^+$  can be written as

$$g^+=\frac{1}{r^2}(dr^2+h_r),$$

where h is a 1-parameter family of metrics with parameter r. Also, h expands in r as

$$h_r = h + r^2 h_2 + \cdots$$
 (even powers)  $\cdots r^{n-1} h_{n-1} r^n h_n + o(r^n)$ .

# **CCE** Metrics

The volume of  $(\mathring{M}, g^+)$  is infinite. One considers the volume of the region  $\{r > \epsilon\}$  in M to find:

#### Theorem (Henningson-Skenderis '98, Graham '00)

• The volume of  $\{r > \epsilon\}$  in  $g^+$  expands as

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{g^+}\{r > \epsilon\} = c_0 \epsilon^{-n} + c_1 \epsilon^{-n+1} + \dots + c_{n-1} \epsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) + V + o(1),$$

where  $c_j = 0$  for each odd j.

If n is odd, E is zero and V is independent of h ∈ [h]; and if n is even,
 E is independent of h ∈ [h].

We call V the **renormalized volume** of  $(M, g^+)$ , and call  $\mathcal{E}$  the **energy** of  $\Sigma$  in M.



Let  $(M^{n+1}, g)$  be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\partial M = \Sigma^n$ . Write  $g|_{\Sigma} = h$ .

A complete metric  $g^+$  on  $\mathring{M}$  is called *the* **boundary singular Yamabe** ( $\partial$ SY) metric for (M, g) if there is a function u with

$$u > 0$$
 on  $\check{M}$ ,  
 $u = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ ,

such that  $g^+ = u^{-2}g$  has

$$R_{g^+} = -n(n+1).$$

The scalar curvature condition can be seen as a PDE in *u*:

$$L[u] := 1 - |du|_g^2 + \frac{2}{n+1}u\Delta_g u + \frac{1}{n(n+1)}u^2R_g = 0.$$

#### Theorem (Aviles-McOwen '88)

The problem

$$L[u] = 0 \text{ on } \mathring{M}, \qquad u|_{\Sigma} = 0$$

has a unique solution.

Under the identification of a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  in M with  $[0,\delta)\times\Sigma,$  g takes the form

$$g = dr^2 + h_r$$

where r is the distance to  $\Sigma$  w.r.t. g, and  $h_r$  is a 1-parameter family of metrics on  $\Sigma$  with parameter r such that  $h_0 = h$ .

The solution u expands in r as

$$u \sim r + u_2 r^2 + \dots + u_{n+1} r^{n+1} + \mathcal{L} r^{n+2} \log r + u_{n+2} r^{n+2} o(r^{n+2}),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $u_m$  for m < n+2 are locally formally determined, and  $u_{n+2}$  is formally undetermined.

Chandra Kushtagi (UTD)

The volume form for  $(\mathring{M}, g^+)$  is

$$dV_{g^+} = u^{-n-1}dV_g.$$

Again, the volume is infinite.

The volume of the region  $\{r > \epsilon\}$  is

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{g^+}\{r > \epsilon\} = c_{-n}\epsilon^{-n} + c_{-n+1}\epsilon^{-n+1} + \dots + c_{-1}\epsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E}\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) + V + o(1).$$

#### Theorem (Graham '17)

 ${\mathcal E}$  is independent of choice of  $g \in [g]$ .

Generically, V is not a conformal invariant in this setting.

Chandra Kushtagi (UTD)



Let  $(M^{n+k}, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold. Suppose  $\Sigma^n$  is an embedded submanifold of M. Write  $g|_{\Sigma} = h$ .

A complete metric  $g^+$  on  $M \setminus \Sigma$  is called a **singular Yamabe** (SY) metric for  $(M, \Sigma, g)$  if there is a function u with

u > 0 on  $M \setminus \Sigma$ , u = 0 on  $\Sigma$ ,

such that  $g^+ = u^{-2}g$  has

$$R_{g^+} = -(n-k+2)(n+k-1).$$

Writing the scalar curvature condition as

$$2L[u] = (n+2-k) - (n+k)|du|_g^2 + 2u\Delta_g u + \frac{1}{n+k-1}R_g u^2 = 0,$$

we have that

Theorem (Aviles-McOwen '88)

The problem

$$\begin{aligned} L[u] &= 0 \quad on \ M \backslash \Sigma, \\ u|_{\Sigma} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution if and only if k < n + 2.

[Mazzeo '91, Mazzeo-Pacard '96] When  $k \ge n + 2$ , a solution need not exist, need not be unique if it does exist, and need not be polyhomogeneous.

Chandra Kushtagi (UTD)

Assume k < n + 2 throughout. Let t be the g-distance to  $\Sigma$ .

#### Theorem (Mazzeo '91)

The solution u to the singular Yamabe problem expands in t as

$$u \sim t + u_2 t^2 + \cdots + u_{n+1} t^{n+1} + \mathcal{L} t^{n+2} \log t + u_{n+2} t^{n+2} + o(t^{n+2}),$$

where the function  $\mathcal{L}$ , and each  $u_{\ell}$  for  $\ell < n + 2$ , is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \Sigma$  formally determined by g.  $u_{n+2}$  is formally undetermined.

#### Theorem (K-McKeown)

The solution to the singular Yamabe problem u of the form  $u = t\bar{v} + O(t^{n+2}\log t)$ , with  $\bar{v}|_{\Sigma} = 1$ , and  $\bar{v} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ .

We may identify a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  in M with  $[0, \delta) \times \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \Sigma$ . Any point q in this neighborhood may be written as  $(t, \omega, p)$ . For  $p \in \Sigma$ , define

$$\mathcal{Y}_{j}(p) = egin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{0} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{j} & j ext{ even}, \ \mathcal{H}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{j} & j ext{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_j$  is the space of eigenfunctions of  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue -j(j+k-2).

Any smooth function f on M in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  can be written as  $f(t, \omega, p)$  on  $[0, \delta)_t \times \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \Sigma^n$ .

In these coordinates, any smooth f expands in powers of t locally as

$$f = f_0 + tf_1 + \cdots + t^\ell f_\ell + \cdots$$

with  $f_{\ell}$  smooth on  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \Sigma$ , and  $f_{\ell}(-, p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{\ell}(p)$  for each  $\ell$ .

When  $\ell$  is odd,  $f_{\ell}$  integrates to zero over  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ .

Chandra Kushtagi (UTD)

For a smooth function f written as

$$f=f_0+tf_1+\cdots+t^\ell f_\ell+\cdots,$$

we may think of the coefficients of odd powers of t as odd functions on the (k-1)-sphere and the coefficients of even powers of t as even functions on the (k-1)-sphere under the antipodal action.

In particular, at each  $p \in \Sigma$ , the coefficient of  $t^{\ell}$  in the expansion of the solution to the singular Yamabe problem u satisfies

$$u_\ell(-,p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{\ell-1}(p) \quad ext{for } \ell < n+2.$$

Also,  $\mathcal{L}(-,p) \in \mathcal{Y}_1(p)$ .

#### With

$$u \sim t + u_2 t^2 + \dots + u_{n+1} t^{n+1} + \mathcal{L} t^{n+2} \log t + u_{n+2} t^{n+2} + o(t^{n+2}),$$

the volume form of  $g^+ = u^{-2}g$  has the form

$$dV_{g^+} = \vartheta dt dV_h dV_{\mathring{b}},$$

where

$$\vartheta = t^{-n-1} \left( \vartheta_0 + \vartheta_1 t + \cdots + \vartheta_n t^n + o(t^n) \right)$$

is such that for each  $p \in \Sigma$ ,  $\vartheta_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\ell}(p)$ .

So,  $\vartheta_{\ell}$  for odd  $\ell$  all integrate to zero over  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ .

The volume of  $(M \setminus \Sigma, g^+)$  is infinite. Considering the region  $\{t > \epsilon\}$ , we have

#### Theorem (K-McKeown)

The volume of the region  $\{t > \epsilon\}$  expands as

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{g^+}\{t > \epsilon\} = c_0 \epsilon^{-n} + c_1 \epsilon^{-n+1} + \dots + c_{n-1} \epsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E}_{n,k} \log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) + V_{n,k} + o(1),$$

where  $c_j = 0$  for each odd j. If n is odd,  $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$  is zero and  $V_{n,k}$  is independent of  $g \in [g]$ . If n is even,  $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$  is independent of  $g \in [g]$ .

 $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$  is a conformal invariant in terms of local Riemannian invariants.  $V_{n,k}$  is an absolute conformal invariant.

Observe the reintroduction of parity to the invariance!

### Examples

For n = 1 and k = 2, if  $M = \mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $V_{1,2}$  is a conformal invariant associated with knot embeddings.

Suppose  $(M,g) = (\mathbb{S}^{n+k}, \mathring{g})$ , and let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^n$  be an equatorial sphere.

We have

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,k} = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{4\pi^{\frac{n+k}{2}}}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)! \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \text{for } n \text{ even},$$
$$V_{n,k} = (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{2\pi^{1+\frac{n+k}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \text{for } n \text{ odd}.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{E}_{2,2} = -4\pi^2$  and  $V_{1,2} = -4\pi^2$ . The latter is the renormalized volume associated with the equatorial unknot in  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .

### Examples

Let  $(M^{2+k}, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold with  $1 \le k \le 3$ . Suppose  $\Sigma^2$  is a closed surface embedded in M.

We have

$$\mathcal{E}_{2,k} = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathring{b}}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})}{8(4-k)} \int_{\Sigma} \left( k(|\mathfrak{H}|^2 + 4\operatorname{tr}_h(P|_{T\Sigma})) + 4|\mathring{\mathfrak{L}}|^2 - 8R_h \right) dV_h.$$

where

$$\begin{split} h &= g|_{T\Sigma}, \\ \mathring{b} \text{ is the standard metric on } \mathbb{S}^{k-1}, \\ P \text{ is the Schouten tensor,} \\ \mathfrak{L} \text{ is the second fundamental form of } \Sigma \text{ in } M, \text{ and} \end{split}$$

 $\mathfrak{H}$  is its mean curvature.

When k = 1, this is

$$\mathcal{E}_{2,1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \left( |\mathring{\mathfrak{L}}|^2 - R_h \right) dV_h$$

### Variational Formulae

Recall that

$$u \sim t + u_2 t^2 + \cdots + u_{n+1} t^{n+1} + \mathcal{L} t^{n+2} \log t + u_{n+2} t^{n+2} + o(t^{n+2}).$$

The *log*-coefficient  $\mathcal{L}$  in u is the restriction to the unit normal bundle of a *linear* function on the entire normal bundle, i.e., of a one-form. Also,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a conformal invariant of weight -n.

The formally undetermined term  $u_{n+2}$  is *not* the restriction to the normal bundle of a linear function.

Still, when *n* is odd, it has a conformally invariant linear *part* (not locally determined), which thus determines a conformally invariant one-form of weight -n on  $N\Sigma$ :

$$(u_{n+2})_{p}(X) = rac{k}{\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathring{b}}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})} \int_{SN_{p}\Sigma} u_{n+2}(Y) \langle X, Y \rangle dV_{\mathring{b}}(Y),$$

where  $SN_p\Sigma$  is the unit normal sphere at  $p \in \Sigma$ .

#### Theorem (K-McKeown)

If n is odd and k > 1, then  $\mathcal{L} = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} : (-\delta, \delta) \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a smooth variation of  $\Sigma$  with  $\mathcal{F}(0, \cdot) = \mathrm{id}_{\Sigma}$ . Suppose  $X = \frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{F}(s, \cdot)|_{s=0} \in \Gamma(\Sigma, N\Sigma)$  is its variation field. For each s, let  $\Sigma_s = \mathcal{F}(s, \Sigma)$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}(s)$  and  $V_{n,k}(s)$  be the energy and volume corresponding to  $(M, \Sigma_s)$ .

If n is even, then 
$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\mathcal{E}_{n,k}(s) = C_{n,k}\int_{\Sigma}\mathcal{L}(X)dV_h.$$
  
If n is odd, then  $\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}V_{n,k}(s) = C_{n,k}\int_{\Sigma}u_{n+2}(X)dV_h.$ 

Here  $C_{n,k} = (-1)^n \frac{(n+k)(n^2+n+2k-4)}{k(n-k+2)} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathring{b}}(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}).$ 

Chandra Kushtagi (UTD)

For  $(M,g) = (\mathbb{S}^{n+k}, \mathring{g})$ , the equatorial spheres  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^n$  are critical.

As mentioned, when  $k \ge n + 2$ , a solution need not exist, need not be unique if it does exist, and need not be polyhomogeneous.

We may still construct *formal* solutions to the singular Yamabe problem, with desired properties that allow us to run the renormalization process beyond k < n + 2.

For each n, there are finitely many exceptional codimensions k for which this process does not yield ideal (but still interesting) results. Call this set  $S_n$ .

All the mentioned results of [K-McKeown] still hold for  $k \notin S_n$ .

The results pertaining to  $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$  still hold geometric meaning, as it is an integral of Riemannian invariants.

The results about  $V_{n,k}$  for  $k \ge n+2$  do not, since  $V_{n,k}$  is generally not a canonical quantity anymore.

Chandra Kushtagi (UTD)

# Thank You.

Set  

$$P_n(p) = n + 2 + 2np - 4p^2$$
 and  
 $Q_n(p) = 2n + 1 + 2(n-2)p - 4p^2$ .

If *n* is even, define

$$E_n = \left\{ P_n(p) : p = 0, \cdots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor \right\}$$
$$O_n = \left\{ Q_n(p) : p = 0, \cdots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

If *n* is odd, define

$$E_n = \left\{ P_n(p) : p = 1, \cdots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}$$
$$O_n = E_n \cup \{n+2\}.$$

Let  $S_n$  denote the set  $E_n \cup O_n$ .

For  $k \notin S_n$ , the previous results for  $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$  and  $V_{n,k}$  hold as they are. Assume  $k \in S_n$ .

#### Theorem (K-McKeown)

If  $k \in O_n$ , then there exists u with the expansion

$$u \sim t + u_2 t^2 + \dots + u_{n+1} t^{n+1} + \mathcal{L} t^{n+2} \log t + u_{n+2} t^{n+2} + o(t^{n+2})$$

satisfying  $R_{u^{-2}g} = -(n+2-k)(n+k-1) + O(t^{n+1})$ . This u is unique given the additional constraint that, for each positive root  $\nu$  to  $Q_n(\nu) = k$ , the coefficient  $u_{\nu+1}$  integrates to zero on each fiber of the normal sphere bundle to  $\Sigma$ ; this is equivalent to requiring that  $\frac{u}{t}$  be smooth on M, and is thus a conformally invariant condition.

The previous results for  $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$  and  $V_{n,k}$  thus hold for  $k \in O_n$  too.

#### Theorem (K-McKeown)

Suppose that  $k \in E_n$ . Let  $\nu$  be the smallest positive root to  $P_n(\nu) = k$ .

In either case, A is a pointwise conformal invariant of weight  $-\nu$ .