

Next time

(10)

Definition: A metric space is proper if closed + bounded sets are compact.

Compare this with the definition of a proper map in topology: if X, Y are top spaces, a

function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is proper if $f^{-1}(K)$ is compact in X for every compact subset K of Y .

A metric space X is proper iff only if

$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = d(x_0, x)$ for

some fixed $x_0 \in X$ is a proper function.

The following theorem is a generalization of the

Hopf-Rinow theorem from differential geometry:

(11)

Theorem: Every complete locally compact length space is proper. Conversely, every proper length space is complete and locally compact. Furthermore, all such spaces are geodesic spaces.

Definition: Let $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ be metric spaces.

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitz if \exists a constant C such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq C d_X(x, x')$$

for all $x, x' \in X$.

Important example: Every smooth map between compact Riemannian manifolds is Lipschitz.

(12)

Definition: A homeomorphism $\phi: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ such that both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are Lipschitz is called a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism

Theorem (Sullivan): Two smooth manifolds of dimension other than four (!) are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic if & only if they are (topologically) homeomorphic

Counterexamples in dimension 4.

Take
Definition: $f: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$, $x_0 \in X$, $a > 0$.

The distortion of f at x_0 is defined to be

$$D_f(x_0; a) = \frac{\sup \{d_Y(f(x_0), f(x)) : d_X(x_0, x) = a\}}{\inf \{d_Y(f(x_0), f(x)) : d_X(x_0, x) = a\}}$$

Roughly speaking, $D_f(x_0; a)$ is a measure of how far the image $f(S(x_0; a))$ of the sphere of radius a centered at x_0 deviates from being a sphere in Y centered at $f(x_0)$.

(13)

Definition: We say $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is K -quasi conformal

if there exists a constant K such that

$$\limsup_{a \rightarrow 0} D_f(x; a) \leq K$$

for all $x \in X$. We say f is ~~quasi-conformal~~

quasi conformal if it is K -quasi conformal for some K .

Examples: Every bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism is quasi conformal.

- A conformal map in the sense of Riemannian geometry is 1-quasi conformal.

(14)

Section 1.3

Recall the following theorem from point-set topology:

Theorem: Let (X, d) be a metric space, & define $\tilde{d}: X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\tilde{d}(x, y) = \min\{d(x, y), 1\}$. Then \tilde{d} is a metric on X , and (X, d) and (X, \tilde{d}) are homeomorphic.

In other words, the topology of (X, d) only depends on the small-scale structure of d . In coarse geometry, we consider the large-scale structure of d .

(15)

Definitions: Let X, Y be metric spaces & let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, not necessarily continuous.

(a): f is (metrically) proper if $f^{-1}(B)$ is a bounded subset of X for every bounded subset B of Y .

(b) f is (uniformly) bornologous if for every $R > 0$
 $\exists S > 0$ such that

$$d_X(x, \tilde{x}) < R \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x), f(\tilde{x})) < S.$$

(c) f is coarse if it is both proper and ~~bornologous~~ bornologous.

(16)

Remarks:

1. Metric properness is not generally the same as topological properness, but the two concepts coincide if X, Y are proper metric spaces and f is continuous. Hence forth we shall use "proper" to mean "metrically proper."
 2. Note that the definition of bornologous is sort of the converse to the definition of uniform continuity!
-

Examples with $X = Y = \mathbb{N}$.

- ① $f(n) = 14n + 78$ coarse
- ② $g(n) = 1$ not proper
- ③ $h(n) = n^2$ not bornologous.

(17)

Definition: Let X, Y be metric spaces. We say $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is large-scale Lipschitz if there exist positive constants c, A such that

$$d(f(x), f(\tilde{x})) \leq c \cdot d(x, \tilde{x}) + A$$

for all $x, \tilde{x} \in X$.

A large-scale Lipschitz ~~map~~ map is ~~to~~ bornologous, but the converse is not generally true. However, we do have the following result:

Proposition: Let X be a length space and Y any metric space, & let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. TFAE:

(a) f is large-scale Lipschitz;

(b) f is bornologous;

(c) there exist $R, S > 0$ such that

$$d(x, \tilde{x}) < R \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(\tilde{x})) < S$$

for all $x, \tilde{x} \in X$.

(18)

Definition: Maps $f, \tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ are close if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$d(f(x), \tilde{f}(\tilde{x})) \leq M \cdot d(x, \tilde{x})$$

for all $x, \tilde{x} \in X$.

Proposition: f, \tilde{f} are close if & only if there exists a coarse map

$$F: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$$

such that $F(x, 0) = f(x)$, $F(x, 1) = \tilde{f}(x) \quad \forall x \in X$.

For this reason, "close" is sometimes called "homotopic".

Definition: Metric spaces X, Y are coarsely equivalent

if there exist coarse maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: Y \rightarrow X$

such that $f \circ g$ is close to id_Y and

$f \circ g$ is close to id_X .

Remark: Coarse equivalence is also called "homotopy equivalence".

(19)

Proposition: \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{R} are coarsely equivalent.

Proof: Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the inclusion map and define $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $g(x) = \lfloor Lx \rfloor$. Then $g \circ f = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $f \circ g(x) = \lfloor Lx \rfloor$ is close to $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$: in fact, we can take $M=1$.

Remark: We will see later that \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}^2 are not coarsely equivalent.

Next time

Let Γ be a discrete group, + let S be a set of generators of Γ . For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $|\gamma|$ denote the smallest integer n such that

$$\gamma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n, \quad s_i \in S \text{ or } s_i^{-1} \in S \quad \forall i.$$

It is easy to see that

$$|\gamma\tau| \leq |\gamma| + |\tau|,$$