

Homework 17 (Solutions)

(a) Choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ and let N be arbitrary, let $m = 3 + 12N$ and $n = 6 + 12N$, then $m, n \geq N$ and $|a_n - a_m| = |0 - 1| = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$.

(b) Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $N > \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}$, then

$\forall n \geq N$ and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{n+k} - b_n| &= \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{(n+k)^2} + \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(n+k)^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n^2} \leq \frac{2}{N^2} < \frac{2}{\sqrt{2/\varepsilon}} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

2. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{n+k} - C_n| &= |C_{n+k} - C_{n+k-1} + C_{n+k-1} - C_{n+k-2} \\ &\quad + C_{n+k-2} - C_{n+k-3} + \dots + C_{n+1} - C_n| \leq \\ &\leq |C_{n+k} - C_{n+k-1}| + |C_{n+k-1} - C_{n+k-2}| + \dots + |C_{n+1} - C_n| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n+k-1} + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n+k-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k-1} + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k-2} + \dots + 1 \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \cdot \frac{1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k}{1 - \frac{2}{3}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \cdot 3. \end{aligned}$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose N such that $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^N \cdot \frac{1}{3} < \varepsilon$ (i.e. $N > \frac{\log(\varepsilon/3)}{\log(2/3)}$)

Then $\forall n \geq N$ and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|C_{n+k} - C_n| \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \cdot 3 \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^N \cdot 3 < \varepsilon.$$

2.6.2 | a) Sequence $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is Cauchy, since (a_n) converges to 0. (a_n) is not monotonic

b) Impossible:
If (a_n) has an unbounded subsequence, (a_n) itself is unbounded, then (a_n) can't be Cauchy, since all Cauchy sequences are bounded

c) Cauchy subsequence will be convergent.
Monotone unbounded sequences can't have convergent subsequences (see 2.5.2 (d))

d) This is possible. Let $a_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 2k \\ n, & n = 2k+1 \end{cases}$.
Then (a_{2k}) is Cauchy, but (a_n) is unbounded

2.6.3 b) | Since both (x_n) and (y_n) are Cauchy, they are bounded. I.e. $\exists A, B$ s.t.

$$|x_n| \leq A \text{ and } |y_n| \leq B \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose N_1 s.t. $\forall m, n \geq N_1$,

$$|x_m - x_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2B}, \text{ and choose } N_2 \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\forall m, n \geq N_2, |y_m - y_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2A}.$$

$$\text{Let } N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$$

Then $\forall m, n \geq N$

$$|x_m y_m - x_n y_n| = |x_m y_m - x_n y_m + x_n y_m - x_n y_n|$$

$$\leq |y_m| \cdot |x_m - x_n| + |x_n| \cdot |y_m - y_n|$$

$$\leq B \cdot |x_m - x_n| + A \cdot |y_m - y_n| < B \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2B} + A \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2A} = \varepsilon.$$

2.7.1(c)

$$S_{2n+1} = a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + \dots + a_{2n+1} = S_{2n-1} - a_{2n} + a_{2n+1}$$

Since a_n is decreasing, $-a_{2n} + a_{2n+1} \leq 0 \Rightarrow$
 $S_{2n+1} \leq S_{2n-1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$

$$S_{2n+1} = (a_1 - a_2) + (a_3 - a_4) + \dots + (a_{2n-1} - a_{2n}) + a_{2n+1} \geq 0$$

(since all the terms in parenthesis are non-negative).

Sequence (S_{2n+1}) is decreasing and bounded from below by zero $\Rightarrow \lim S_{2n+1}$ exists.

$$\text{Let } \lim (S_{2n+1}) = L.$$

In a similar way, one can show that sequence (S_{2n}) is decreasing and is bounded from above by a_1 , and thus it also has a limit.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{More directly, } S_{2n} &= S_{2n+1} - a_{2n+1} \Rightarrow \\ \lim S_{2n} &= \lim S_{2n+1} - \lim a_{2n+1} = L - 0 \\ &\text{(by Alg. limit theorem).} \end{aligned}$$

To show that $\lim S_n = L$ one can argue as follows: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose N_1 s.t. $\forall k \geq N_1$
 $|S_{2k+1} - L| < \varepsilon$, and choose N_2 s.t. $\forall \ell \geq N_2$
 $|S_{2\ell} - L| < \varepsilon$. Let $N = 2 \cdot \max\{N_1, N_2\} + 1$.
Then $\forall n \geq N$, $n = 2k+1$ with $k \geq N_1$ or
 $n = 2\ell$ with $\ell \geq N_2$, so
 $|S_n - L| < \varepsilon$.