Demonstration Examples

Jonathan D. Hauenstein

1. Illustrative example

For an illustrative first example of using Bertini [1], we solve the following quintic polynomial equation which can not be solved in terms of radicals:

(1) $f(x) = x^5 - x + 1 = 0.$

The following input file can be used to solve (1):

```
CONFIG
END;
INPUT
variable_group x;
function f;
f = x^5 - x + 1;
END;
```

Executing Bertini from the command line:

>> bertini input

tracks deg f = 5 paths to compute the 5 solutions to (1). A portion of the screen output is:

 Multiplicity
 Number of real solns
 Number of non-real solns

 1
 1
 4

showing that (1) has 1 real and 4 non-real solutions. In our test, the following is the output file finite_solutions:

```
7.648844336005846e-01 3.524715460317263e-01
-1.812324444698754e-01 1.083954101317711e+00
-1.167303978261419e+00 -1.665334536937735e-16
7.648844336005847e-01 -3.524715460317264e-01
-1.812324444698754e-01 -1.083954101317711e+00
```

which first lists the number of solutions (5) and then numerical approximations of the real and imaginary coordinates of the solutions, i.e., the line

7.648844336005846e-01 3.524715460317263e-01

corresponds with

5

 $0.7648844336005846 + 0.3524715460317263 \cdot \sqrt{-1}.$

Hence, the third point listed above in finite_solutions is a numerical approximation of the real solution of (1). The file main_data provides information about the quality of the numerical approximations of each solution. For example, here is a portion of main_data from our test:

```
Solution 3 (path number 0)
Estimated condition number: 5.940070457750704e+01
Function residual: 3.972054645195637e-15
Latest Newton residual: 4.405327952961146e-16
T value at final sample point: 3.9062500000000e-04
Maximum precision utilized: 52
T value of first precision increase: 0.000000000000e+00
Accuracy estimate, internal coordinates (difference of last two endpoint estimates): 5.083739718695013e-13
Accuracy estimate, user's coordinates (after dehomogenization, if applicable): 5.679652284963224e-13
Cycle number: 1
7.648844336005846e-01 3.524715460317263e-01
Paths with the same endpoint, to the prescribed tolerance:
Multiplicity: 1
```

2. Sharpen illustrative example

To demonstrate the ability of Bertini to compute solutions to arbitrary accuracy, we utilize the sharpening module on the illustrative quintic polynomial equation (1) to refine the solutions to 30 digits using Newton's method:

```
CONFIG
SharpenDigits: 30;
END;
INPUT
variable_group x;
function f;
f = x^5 - x + 1;
END;
```

In our test, the unique real solution is listed in the the output file real_finite_solutions is:

-0.1167303978261418684256045899854842180724e1 0.3673419846319648462402301678819517743183e-39

which shows that the imaginary part of the numerical approximation is on the order of 10^{-39} .

3. CERTIFY ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

We now demonstrate using alphaCertified [3] to prove the output of Bertini from above for solving (1). The polynomial is described based on monomials in the following polySys file:

1 1

The first line states that there is one variable and one polynomial. The next line states that the first polynomial has 3 monomials. Each monomial is written by listing the degrees of the variables and

2

then real and imaginary parts of the coefficient. That is, the last three lines correspond to x^5 , -x, and 1, respectively. This file can be created using the alphaCertifiedMaple library.

If we want to use exact rational certification in alphaCertified, we need to write the real and imaginary coordinates of the points using rational numbers. For example, we use the following points file (which can also be created using the alphaCertifiedMaple library):

5 -116/100 0 764/1000 -352/1000 764/1000 352/1000 -181/1000 1083/1000 -181/1000 -1083/1000

The execution alphaCertified from the command line:

>> alphaCertified polySys points

produces the following screen output:

Analyzing 5 points using exact arithmetic. Isolating 5 approximate solutions. Classifying 5 distinct approximate solutions. Rational certification results: Number of points tested: 5 Certified approximate solutions: 5 Certified distinct solutions: 5

Certified real distinct solutions: 1

This shows that all 5 points tested correspond with distinct solutions to (1) and exactly one of the solutions is real.

4. Multihomogeneous example

For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an *eigenvalue* of A with corresponding *eigenvector* $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ if

$$Av - \lambda v = 0$$

and $v \neq 0$. We utilize this example to demonstrate using Bertini to solve systems defined on a product of affine and projective space. Since (2) is homogeneous with respect to v, i.e., if v is an eigenvector of A corresponding to λ , then αv is also an eigenvector of A corresponding to λ for any $\alpha \neq 0$, we should naturally treat (2) as a system of N equations defined on the product space $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. In particular, since each equation in (2) is linear in both λ and v, a homotopy on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ to solve (2) requires tracking $\binom{N}{1} = N$ solution paths (see [2, § 5.1]), which is equal to the generic number of distinct eigenvalue and eigenvector pairs. For example, for the matrix

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array} \right]$$

the following input file computes eigenvalue and eigenvector pairs:

```
CONFIG
END;
INPUT
variable_group 1; % eigenvalue
hom_variable_group v1,v2; % eigenvector
function f1,f2;
constant a11,a12,a21,a22; % matrix
a11 = 1; a12 = 2; a21 = 3; a22 = 4;
f1 = a11*v1 + a12*v2 - 1*v1;
f2 = a21*v1 + a22*v2 - 1*v2;
END;
```

Executing Bertini tracks two paths. The following is finite_solutions from our test:

2

```
5.372281323269013e+00 0.00000000000000e+00
4.574271077563382e-01 -2.775557561562891e-17
1.0000000000000e+00 0.000000000000e+00
```

```
-3.722813232690144e-01 0.00000000000000e+00
1.000000000000e+00 0.000000000000e+00
-6.861406616345070e-01 5.551115123125783e-17
```

This shows the eigenvalues of A are approximately 5.372 and -0.372. Notice that the eigenvectors are scaled so that largest coordinate (in absolute value) is 1.

5. NUMERICAL IRREDUCIBLE DECOMPOSITION

To illustrate computing a numerical irreducible decomposition using Bertini, we consider the following polynomial system defined on \mathbb{C}^6 :

(3)
$$f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_5 - x_2 x_4 \\ x_2 x_6 - x_3 x_5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is accomplished using the following input:

```
CONFIG
TrackType: 1; % compute numerical irreducible decomposition
END;
INPUT
variable_group x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6;
function f1,f2;
```

4

f1 = x1*x5 - x2*x4; f2 = x2*x6 - x3*x5; END;

A portion of the screen output from executing Bertini is:

showing that (3) defines two irreducible components of dimension 4, one has degree 1 and the other has degree 3.

In our test, a portion of main_data is:

```
Number of variables: 6
Variables: x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6
Rank: 2
```

-----DIMENSION 4-----

NONSINGULAR SOLUTIONS

```
Path number: 3
Component number: 0
Estimated condition number: 1.000572e+01
7.950394424236318e-01 1.008831895707915e+00
0.0000000000000e+00 0.00000000000000e+00
-4.302450583776669e-01 9.066401487298040e-01
1.810287256420423e-01 -1.095072346789127e+00
2.759195389687779e-17 3.102179290555893e-18
-2.160905745563312e-01 6.033840888172206e-01
Multiplicity: 1
Deflations needed: 0
```

which shows, for example, that the x_2 and x_5 coordinates are zero on this component.

The file witness_data contains the data required to use the witness set for further computations.

6. SAMPLING

Using the witness set computed when solving (3) stored in witness_data, we next use Bertini to sample an irreducible component. The modified input file is:

```
CONFIG
TrackType: 2; % sample
END;
```

```
INPUT
variable_group x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6;
function f1,f2;
f1 = x1*x5 - x2*x4;
f2 = x2*x6 - x3*x5;
END;
```

Executing Bertini produces a sequence of menus for selecting the dimension, component, number of points, output type (screen or file), and file name [if necessary]. For example, in our test, sampling 3 points on linear component yields the following three points:

3

```
5.920239720895480e-01 -4.418341177482604e-01
-3.658401632350801e-22 3.530846845538152e-19
3.134261347213816e+00 1.187159473313852e+00
-5.800140329537541e-01 -2.618666107204265e+00
1.762472291907067e-20 -5.909247530626338e-20
-4.990593900777348e-01 -1.154504588134285e+00
```

```
9.465018523915929e-01 -2.510458828984211e+00
-1.304063261824207e-18 -1.447731669164072e-18
2.583294298316470e+00 1.808135524193481e+00
1.548253600150566e+00 2.248756149691759e+00
-6.960997818938286e-19 1.521255718232070e-18
-2.624002809603148e+00 -4.019203985948467e+00
```

```
-4.603118770899699e-02 4.026715942103231e-02
-7.430492710325136e-21 -4.579109411165913e-22
1.617409479899316e+00 4.897963058450606e-01
-3.155419868887653e-01 -1.398760129469327e+00
-7.497965781365959e-21 -2.124147634696427e-20
-1.512720350616142e-01 -8.294039396795403e-01
```

which again shows that the x_2 and x_5 coordinates are zero on this component.

7. PROJECTION

Due to time constraints, our final computation is to project the degree 3 component of (3) onto the x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6 variables. We utilize witness_data together with the following input file:

```
CONFIG
TrackType: 5; % projection
END;
INPUT
variable_group x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6;
function f1,f2;
```

f1 = x1*x5 - x2*x4; f2 = x2*x6 - x3*x5; END;

To define the projection, we create the file projection which provides a boolean for each variable depending on if that coordinate is in the image. Projecting onto the x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6 variables is described by

```
1 0 1 1 0 1
```

Executing Bertini produces a sequence of menus for selecting the dimension and component. Projecting the degree 3 component produces the following screen output:

```
Dimensions
Projection: 3
Fiber: 1
Degrees
Projection: 2
Fiber: 1
```

showing that the image has dimension 3 and degree 2 while the fiber has dimension 1 and degree 1. In fact, the image is a hypersurface defined by $x_1x_6 - x_3x_4 = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.J. Bates, J.D. Hauenstein, A.J. Sommese, and C.W. Wampler. Bertini: Software for Numerical Algebraic Geometry. Available at bertini.nd.edu.
- [2] D.J. Bates, J.D. Hauenstein, A.J. Sommese, and C.W. Wampler. *Numerically Solving Polynomial Systems with Bertini*, Volume 25 of Software, Environments, and Tools, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2013.
- [3] J.D. Hauenstein and F. Sottile. Algorithm 921: alphaCertified: certifying solutions to polynomial systems. *ACM Trans. Math. Software*, 38(4), 28, 2012.